

Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear LLP

Intellectual Property Law

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JUN 14 2004

2040 Main Street
Fourteenth Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
Tel 949-760-0404
Fax 949-760-9502
www.kmob.com

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

OFFICIAL

CONFIRMATION COPY WILL FOLLOW VIA:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> MAIL | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WILL <u>NOT</u> FOLLOW |
| <input type="checkbox"/> INTERNATIONAL AIRMAIL | <input type="checkbox"/> HAND DELIVERY |
| <input type="checkbox"/> COURIER | <input type="checkbox"/> WITH ENCLOSURES |
| <input type="checkbox"/> E-MAIL | <input type="checkbox"/> WITHOUT ENCLOSURES |

Confidentiality Notice:

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain confidential information which may be legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the recipient named below. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the original documents to us; and any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this faxed information is strictly prohibited.

TO:	Debbie M. Le	
FIRM:	U.S.P.T.O.	
FACSIMILE NO.:	(703) 872-9306	
OUR REF.:	QSOFT.050A	
APPL. NO.:	09/713,479	
FROM:	John R. King	
OPERATOR:	Amy Durrant	NO. OF PAGES: 3 (incl. cover sheet)
DATE:	June 14, 2004	

IF YOU DID NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES PLEASE CALL BACK IMMEDIATELY
OPERATOR PHONE NO.: (949) 760-0404 FACSIMILE NO.: (949) 760-9502

MESSAGE:

H:\DOCS\VRK\VRK-8351.DOC
061404

San Diego
619-235-8550
P. 1/3 NO. 934

San Francisco
415-954-4114

Los Angeles
310-551-3450

Riverside
909-781-9231
KMOB

San Luis Obispo
805-547-5580

JUN. 14. 2004 11:00AM

OFFICIAL

QSOF050A

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 14 2004

Applicant : Romine, et al.
Appl. No. : 09/713,479
Filed : November 15, 2000
For : SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR
QUIESCING SELECT DATA
MODIFICATION OPERATIONS
AGAINST AN OBJECT OF A
DATABASE DURING ONE OR
MORE STRUCTURAL
OPERATIONS
Examiner : Debbie M. Le
Group Art Unit : 2177

CERTIFICATE OF FAX TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all
marked attachments are being facsimile transmitted to
the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown
below:

6/14/04
(Date)
John R. King, Reg. No. 34,362

RESPONSE TO MARCH 9, 2004 OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In order to prepare for the upcoming interview scheduled on June 16, 2004 at 12:00 p.m., the Examiner has requested that we submit an agenda regarding the matters to be discussed.

In brief, we plan on discussing the differences between the cited references and embodiments of the invention. In particular, we plan on discussing how U.S. Patent No. 6,519,613 to Friske et al. (the "Friske patent") and how U.S. Patent No. 6,122,640 to Pereira (the "Pereira patent") do not describe the process of providing a partial lock on an table during reorganization.

Partial Blocking of Transactions During Reorganization

While a number of differences exist, generally speaking the Friske patent appears to describe a system that reorganizes a database by making a copy of the unorganized database. The unorganized database then remains accessible while the copy is being reorganized. During this reorganization process, data requests send to the unorganized database are not blocked.

Likewise the Pereira patent appears to describe a system that reorganizes a database by making a copy of the unorganized database. Like the Friske patent, the Pereira patent also appears to disclose that the unorganized database remains fully accessible during the reorganization process.

Applicant's invention, in contrast, partially blocks the unorganized database during reorganization. That is, certain transactions are blocked during reorganization, while other transactions are not blocked. Neither the Friske patent nor the Pereira patent teach the concept of partially blocking certain transactions during the reorganization process.

Accessibility of the Reorganized Database

In another embodiment of Applicant's invention, the reorganized database remains accessible while substituting the reorganized database with the unorganized database.

In contrast, both the Friske patent and the Pereira patent appear to describe systems wherein the unorganized database and the reorganized database are temporarily inaccessible while the reorganized database is substituted for the unorganized database.

Thus, neither of the cited references describes how to ensure that the reorganized database remains accessible during substitution.

We look forward to conducting the interview. In addition, William Romine, one of the inventors, plans on attending the interview. If you have any other questions, please call me at 949-721-2998.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: 6/14/04

By: John R. King
 John R. King
 Registration No. 34,362
 Attorney of Record
 Customer No. 20,995
 (949) 760-0404

H:\DOCS\WRK\JK-8337.DOC
 061104